Bladder Cancer[™]

Renal Cell Cancer

II P D A T E

Conversations with Oncology Investigators Bridging the Gap between Research and Patient Care

FACULTY INTERVIEWS **BLADDER CANCER**

> Matthew D Galsky, MD Elizabeth R Plimack, MD, MS

FACULTY INTERVIEWS RENAL CELL CARCINOMA

> Robert J Motzer, MD Brian I Rini, MD

EDITOR

Neil Love, MD













OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

Cancers of the genitourinary (GU) system affect hundreds of thousands of individuals within the United States each year. Among these, tumors of the bladder, kidney and renal pelvis are among the most prevalent and are therefore the topic of extensive ongoing clinical research. As such, the clinical management of these diseases is currently in a state of evolution, necessitating rapid and consistent access to learning opportunities for clinicians who provide care for these patients. Featuring information on the latest research developments along with expert perspectives, this CME program is designed to assist medical oncologists, urologists and radiation oncologists with the formulation of up-to-date clinical management strategies for the care of patients with GU cancers.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Develop an evidence-based approach to the sequencing of systemic therapies for patients with advanced renal cell
 carcinoma (RCC), incorporating cytokines, multikinase inhibitors, anti-VEGF antibodies, mTOR inhibitors and immune
 checkpoint inhibitors.
- Appreciate the recent FDA approvals in advanced RCC, and develop strategies to optimally integrate these agents into the management of this disease.
- Recognize toxicities attributable to diverse molecular-targeted treatments for RCC, and offer preventive or emergent interventions to minimize or ameliorate these side effects.
- Recall the unique mechanism of action of, available clinical trial data with and clinical indications for the use of atezolizumab in patients with relapsed/refractory advanced urothelial bladder cancer, and use this information to guide nonprotocol treatment planning.
- Recognize immune-related adverse events and other common side effects associated with approved and developmental immunotherapeutics in order to offer supportive management strategies.
- Recall available and emerging data with novel anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies currently under investigation for bladder cancer and RCC, and, where applicable, refer eligible patients for trial participation or expanded access programs.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this enduring material for a maximum of 2.75 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

AMERICAN BOARD OF INTERNAL MEDICINE (ABIM) — MAINTENANCE OF CERTIFICATION (MOC)

Successful completion of this CME activity enables the participant to earn up to 2.75 MOC points in the American Board of Internal Medicine's (ABIM) Maintenance of Certification (MOC) program. Participants will earn MOC points equivalent to the amount of CME credits claimed for the activity. It is the CME activity provider's responsibility to submit participant completion information to ACCME for the purpose of granting ABIM MOC credit.

Please note, this program has been specifically designed for the following ABIM specialty: medical oncology.

Personal information and data sharing: Research To Practice aggregates deidentified user data for program-use analysis, program development, activity planning and site improvement. We may provide aggregate and deidentified data to third parties, including commercial supporters. We do not share or sell personally identifiable information to any unaffiliated third parties or commercial supporters. Please see our privacy policy at ResearchToPractice.com/Privacy-Policy for more information.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains an audio component. To receive credit, the participant should review the CME information, listen to the audio tracks, complete the Post-test with a score of 80% or better and fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located in the back of this booklet or on our website at **ResearchToPractice.com/RenalBladder117/CME**.

This activity is supported by educational grants from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Exelixis Inc, Genentech BioOncology and Novartis.

Release date: May 2017; Expiration date: May 2018

If you would like to discontinue your complimentary subscription, please email us at **Info@ResearchToPractice.com**, call us at (800) 648-8654 or fax us at (305) 377-9998. Please include your full name and address, and we will remove you from the mailing list.

CME INFORMATION

FACULTY AFFILIATIONS



Matthew D Galsky, MD
Director, Genitourinary
Medical Oncology
Director
Novel Therapeutics Program
Tisch Cancer Institute
Professor of Medicine
Icahn School of Medicine
at Mount Sinai
New York, New York



Elizabeth R Plimack, MD, MS Director, Genitourinary Clinical Research Associate Professor Department of Hematology/ Oncology Fox Chase Cancer Center Temple Health Philadelphia, Pennsylvania



Robert J Motzer, MD Medical Oncologist Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center New York, New York



Brian I Rini, MD
Department of Solid Tumor
Oncology and Urology
Cleveland Clinic Taussig
Cancer Center
Associate Professor
of Medicine
CCF/CWRU Lerner College
of Medicine
Cleveland, Ohio

EDITOR



Neil Love, MD Research To Practice Miami, Florida

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported relevant conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process: Dr Galsky -Advisory Committee: Astellas Pharma Global Development Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Novartis; Contracted Research: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation; Ownership Interest: Dual Therapeutics. **Dr Plimack** — Advisory Committee: Acceleron Pharma, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Roche Laboratories Inc; Consulting Agreements: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Lilly, Pfizer Inc; Contracted Research: Acceleron Pharma, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Merck, Pfizer Inc. Dr Motzer — Consulting Agreements: Eisai Inc., GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Pfizer Inc.; Contracted Research: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Eisai Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Roche Laboratories Inc. Dr Rini — Consulting Agreements: Merck, Pfizer Inc; Contracted Research: Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology, Merck, Pfizer Inc, Roche Laboratories Inc.

EDITOR — Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: AbbVie Inc, Acerta Pharma, Agendia Inc, Amgen Inc, Ariad Pharmaceuticals Inc., Array BioPharma Inc., Astellas Pharma Global Development Inc. AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP. Baxalta Inc, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Biodesix Inc, bioTheranostics Inc., Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc., Boston Biomedical Pharma Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Clovis Oncology, CTI BioPharma Corp, Dendreon Pharmaceuticals Inc., Eisai Inc., Exelixis Inc., Foundation Medicine, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc., Gilead Sciences Inc, Halozyme Inc, ImmunoGen Inc, Incyte Corporation, Infinity Pharmaceuticals Inc, Janssen Biotech Inc, Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc, Kite Pharma Inc, Lexicon Pharmaceuticals Inc., Lilly, Medivation Inc., a Pfizer Company, Merck, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Inc., Myriad Genetic Laboratories Inc., NanoString Technologies, Natera Inc, Novartis, Novocure, Onyx Pharmaceuticals, an Amgen subsidiary, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Prometheus Laboratories Inc, Puma Biotechnology Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc, Sanofi Genzyme, Seattle Genetics, Sigma-Tau Pharmaceuticals Inc. Sirtex Medical Ltd, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc, Taiho Oncology Inc, Takeda Oncology, Tesaro Inc, Teva Oncology and Tokai Pharmaceuticals Inc.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

BLADDER CANCER

Interview with Matthew D Galsky, MD

Tracks 1-16

who initially receives treatment for nonmuscle-invasive urothelial bladder cancer (UBC) presents 2 years later with metastatic disease	who initially receives treatment for nonmuscle-invasive urothelial bladder	Track 10	Clinical experience with checkpoint inhibitor-associated immune-related adverse events
	Track 11	Ongoing trials evaluating checkpoint inhibitors in the adjuvant and	
Track 2	Incidence and management of metastatic UBC		metastatic settings
Track 3	Selection of first-line therapy for metastatic UBC	Track 12	Case discussion: A 62-year-old man with metastatic UBC experiences severe diarrhea 1 year after initiation
Track 4	Activity and tolerability of the recently FDA-approved anti-PD-L1 antibody atezolizumab for advanced UBC		of nivolumab but achieves a near complete response after resuming therapy
Track 5	Mechanism of action of anti-PD-L1 antibodies	Track 13	Incidence of diabetes and pancre- atitis associated with immune checkpoint blockade
Track 6	Results of the Phase II IMvigor 210 trial of atezolizumab for patients with locally advanced or metastatic UBC	Track 14	Investigation of cabozantinib alone or in combination with checkpoint inhibitors for patients with UBC
Track 7	Activity of anti-PD-1 antibodies for patients with previously treated UBC	Track 15	Clinical experience with the VEGF tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs)
Track 8	Efficacy of the anti-PD-L1 antibody durvalumab		sunitinib and pazopanib
Track 9	Predictors of response to immune checkpoint inhibitors	Track 16	Role of next-generation sequencing in identifying clinical trial options for patients with relapsed/refractory UBC

Interview with Flizabeth R Plimack MD MS

Tracks 1-12

Track 1	Benefits of (neo)adjuvant treatment for UBC	Track 7	Response of smokers versus never smokers to pembrolizumab on the Phase III KEYNOTE-045 trial
Track 2	Indications for neoadjuvant treatment Chemotherapeutic regimens commonly used in the neoadjuvant		
Track 3		Track 8	Effect of PD-L1 levels on response to anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies
	and adjuvant settings	Track 9	Overview of immune checkpoint
Track 4	Approach to (neo)adjuvant treatment		blockade in metastatic UBC
	for patients with UBC	Track 10	Perspective on using checkpoint inhibitors as first-line therapy for metastatic UBC
Track 5	Available data on the impact of adjuvant chemotherapy on the risk of recurrence		
		Track 11	Duration of response to checkpoint
Track 6	Case discussion: A 71-year-old man and former smoker with localized small cell UBC whose disease progresses through several lines of chemotherapy receives immunotherapy		inhibitors and viewpoint on discontinuing therapy
		Track 12	Challenges in identifying targeted therapies for metastatic UBC

RENAL CELL CARCINOMA

Interview with Robert J Motzer, MD

_		- 4	- 4	-
Tra		C I	- 1	6
116	ıvn	. J		. •

Track 1	Results of the ASSURE and S-TRAC trials investigating adjuvant sorafenib or sunitinib for unfavorable/high-risk	Track 9	Role of genomic testing and novel targeted and immunotherapeutic agents for RCC
Track 2	renal cell carcinoma (RCC) Differences in design and eligibility criteria for the ASSURE and S-TRAC trials	Track 10	Rationale for the use of immune checkpoint blockade for RCC
		Track 11	Results of the Phase III CheckMate 025 study: Activity and tolerability
Track 3	Management of dermatological toxicities associated with sunitinib		of nivolumab versus everolimus for advanced RCC
	Efficacy and safety of combining anti-VEGF antibodies and checkpoint	Track 12	Immune-related adverse events associated with checkpoint blockade
Track 5	inhibitors for patients with RCC Results of trials evaluating cabozan-	Track 13	Response to checkpoint inhibitors and duration of therapy
	tinib versus everolimus (METEOR) or sunitinib (CABOSUN) for advanced RCC	Track 14	Recent clinical data with checkpoint inhibitors alone or in combination for RCC
Track 6	Clinical experience with cabozantinib versus sunitinib	Track 15	Case discussion: A 71-year-old man with metastatic clear cell RCC receives
Track 7	Optimal sequencing of VEGF TKIs for RCC		cabozantinib on a clinical trial after disease progression on pazopanib
Track 8	Integration of lenvatinib/everolimus into the clinical algorithm for patients with RCC	Track 16	Case discussion: A 66-year-old man with metastatic RCC achieves a long duration of stable response with everolimus

Interview with Brian I Rini, MC

Tracks 1-15

	Track 1	Selection of first-line therapy for metastatic RCC	Track 8	Duration of response to checkpoint inhibitors and perspective on discon-	
Track 2	Choice of second-line therapy for metastatic RCC	Track 9	tinuing treatment Mechanism of action, activity and		
	Track 3		nuck 5	tolerability of hypoxia-inducing factor inhibitors for RCC	
			Track 10	Activity of nivolumab alone and in	
	Track 4	Side-effect profile and dosing of cabozantinib		combination with ipilimumab for metastatic RCC (mRCC)	
Track 5	Perspective on the efficacy and tolerability of lenvatinib and everolimus as single agents and in	Track 11	Ongoing trials evaluating immuno- therapies in combination with targeted therapies for mRCC		
		combination	Track 12	Use of immune checkpoint	
Track 6	Case discussion: A man in his early fifties with metastatic RCC who was enrolled on a clinical trial of nivolumab and ipilimumab achieves a good response to therapy but develops hypopituitarism		blockade in patients with preexisting autoimmune disease		
		Track 13	Clinical experience with single-agent nivolumab in mRCC		
		Track 14	Response of nonclear cell RCC to systemic therapies		
	Track 7	Management of nivolumab/ ipilimumab-associated hypopituitarism	Track 15	Approach to first-line therapy for patients with metastatic RCC and uncontrolled hypertension	

SELECT PUBLICATIONS

Bladder Cancer

Apolo AB et al. Avelumab (MSB0010718C; anti-PD-L1) in patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma from the JAVELIN solid tumor phase 1b trial: Analysis of safety, clinical activity, and PD-L1 expression. *Proc ASCO* 2016; Abstract 4514.

Balar AV et al. Atezolizumab as first-line treatment in cisplatin-ineligible patients with locally advanced and metastatic urothelial carcinoma: A single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2017;389(10064):67-76.

Balar AV et al. Atezolizumab (atezo) as first-line (1L) therapy in cisplatin-ineligible locally advanced/metastatic urothelial carcinoma (mUC): Primary analysis of IMvigor210 cohort 1. Proc ASCO 2016:Abstract LBA4500.

Balar AV et al. Pembrolizumab (pembro) as first-line therapy for advanced/unresectable or metastatic urothelial cancer: Preliminary results from the phase 2 KEYNOTE-052 study. Proc ESMO 2016; Abstract LBA32_PR.

Bellmunt J et al. KEYNOTE-045: Randomized phase 3 trial of pembrolizumab (MK-3475) versus paclitaxel, docetaxel, or vinflunine for previously treated metastatic urothelial cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2015; Abstract TPS4571.

Galsky MD et al. Comparative effectiveness of cisplatin-based and carboplatin-based chemotherapy for treatment of advanced urothelial carcinoma. *Ann. Oncol* 2012;23(2):406-10.

Massard C et al. Safety and efficacy of durvalumab (MEDI4736), a PD-L1 antibody, in urothelial bladder cancer. Proc ASCO 2016; Abstract 4502.

Rosenberg JE et al. Atezolizumab in patients with locally advanced and metastatic urothelial carcinoma who have progressed following treatment with platinum-based chemotherapy: A single-arm, multicentre, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2016;387(10031):1909-20.

Sharma P et al. Efficacy and safety of nivolumab monotherapy in metastatic urothelial cancer (mUC): Results from the phase I/II CheckMate 032 study. Proc ASCO 2016; Abstract 4501.

Renal Cell Carcinoma

A study of atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab versus sunitinib in participants with untreated advanced renal cell carcinoma [IMmotion151]. NCT02420821

Atkins MB et al. Axitinib in combination with pembrolizumab in patients (pts) with advanced renal cell carcinoma (aRCC): Preliminary safety and efficacy results. *Proc ESMO* 2016; Abstract 773PD.

Choueri T et al. Cabozantinib versus sunitinib as initial targeted therapy for patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma of poor or intermediate risk: The Alliance A031203 CABOSUN trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35(6):591-7.

Choueri T et al. Cabozantinib versus everolimus in advanced renal-cell carcinoma. $N \ Engl\ J \ Med\ 2015;373(19):1814-23.$

Haas NB et al. Initial results from ASSURE (E2805): Adjuvant sorafenib or sunitinib for unfavorable renal carcinoma, an ECOG-ACRIN-led, NCTN phase III trial. Proc ASCO 2015; Abstract 403.

Hammers HJ et al. Updated results from a phase I study of nivolumab (Nivo) in combination with ipilimumab (Ipi) in metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC): The CheckMate 016 study. *Proc ESMO* 2016:Abstract 1062P.

Hammers HJ et al. CheckMate 214: A phase III, randomized, open-label study of nivolumab combined with ipilimumab versus sunitinib monotherapy in patients with previously untreated metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Proc ASCO* 2015; Abstract TPS4578.

McDermott DF et al. Long-term overall survival (OS) with nivolumab in previously treated patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (aRCC) from phase I and II studies. *Proc ASCO* 2016; Abstract 4507.

Motzer RJ et al. Lenvatinib, everolimus, and the combination in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma: A randomised, phase 2, open-label, multicentre trial. Lancet Oncol 2015;16(15):1473-82. Motzer RJ et al. Nivolumab versus everolimus in advanced renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2015;373(19):1803-13.

Motzer RJ et al. Pazopanib versus sunitinib in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2013;369(8):722-31.

Ravaud A et al. Adjuvant sunitinib in high-risk renal-cell carcinoma after nephrectomy. N Engl J Med 2016;375(23):2246-54.

Renal Cell Cancer Update & Bladder Cancer Update

QUESTIONS (PLEASE CIRCLE ANSWER):

- 1. Which of the following is a potential explanation for the differing results reported in the ASSURE and S-TRAC trials, which investigated adjuvant sorafenib or sunitinib for unfavorable/ high-risk RCC?
 - a. Histological presence of at least a component of clear cell was mandatory in S-TRAC, whereas ASSURE included patients with nonclear cell disease
 - b. Patients with Stage T1 and T1b tumors were allowed in ASSURE, whereas the S-TRAC study included only patients with Stage T3 disease or higher
 - c. S-TRAC emphasized the full 50-mg dose of sunitinib, whereas ASSURE allowed for dose reductions to 37.5 mg and 25 mg
 - d. All of the above
 - e. Both a and b
 - f. Both a and c
- 2. Which of the following toxicities of sunitinib appears to interfere the most with activities of daily living?
 - a. Diarrhea
 - b. Hand-foot skin reaction
 - c. Fatigue
- 3. Results of the Phase III METEOR trial evaluating cabozantinib versus everolimus for patients with advanced RCC and disease progression after VEGFR TKI therapy demonstrated significant improvement(s) for patients who received cabozantinib.
 - a. Progression-free survival
 - b. Overall response rate
 - c. Overall survival
 - d. All of the above
- 4. The Phase III COMPARZ trial, which evaluated pazopanib versus sunitinib for patients with advanced RCC, reported pazopanib to be in comparison to sunitinib.

 - a. Inferior
 - b. Noninferior
 - c. Superior

- 5. PD-L1 expression has been demonstrated to be predictive of benefit from anti-PD-1/anti-PD-L1 antibodies in patients with advanced RCC.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 6. The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines for Bladder Cancer principles of perioperative chemotherapy indicate that it acceptable to substitute carboplatin for cisplatin in this setting for patients who are not candidates for cisplatin.
 - a. Is
 - b. Is not
- 7. Which of the following is the mechanism of action of durvalumab?
 - a. Anti-PD-L1 antibody
 - b. mTOR inhibitor
 - c. VFGF TKI
- 8. On the Phase III KEYNOTE-45 trial evaluating pembrolizumab versus investigator's choice of chemotherapy for previously treated metastatic UBC, which of the following groups of patients experienced the most benefit with pembrolizumab?
 - a. Current smokers
 - b. Never smokers
 - c. Response rates were equivalent in both patient populations
- 9. The combination of lenvatinib and everolimus was recently approved by the FDA for the treatment of advanced RCC after 1 antiangiogenic therapy.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 10. Which of the following PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors is FDA approved for the treatment of advanced UBC?
 - a. Atezolizumab
 - b. Avelumab
 - c. Durvalumab
 - d. Nivolumab
 - e. Pembrolizumab
 - f. All of the above
 - g. Both a and e
 - h. Both c and d

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM

Renal Cell Cancer Update & Bladder Cancer Update

Research To Practice is committed to providing valuable continuing education for oncology clinicians, and your input is critical to helping us achieve this important goal. Please take the time to assess the activity you just completed, with the assurance that your answers and suggestions are strictly confidential.

PART 1 — Please tell us about your experience with this educational activity

How would you characterize your level of knowledge on the following topics?

	2 = Adequate	1 = Suboptimal
	BEFORE	AFTER
Biologic rationale for effectiveness of cabozantinib as second- or later-line therapy and overall survival benefit versus everolimus in patients with mRCC whose disease has progressed on 1 or more prior VEGF-targeted therapies	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Magnitude of benefit and duration of response for patients with cisplatin- ineligible locally advanced or metastatic UBC treated with first-line atezolizumab on the Phase II IMvigor 210 trial	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Scheduling, predictors of response and current investigational strategies with anti-PD-1/anti-PD-L1 antibodies in UBC	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Risk-benefit ratio for patients with advanced RCC treated with lenvatinib/ everolimus on a Phase II study	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Potential factors contributing to the different outcomes in the ASSURE and S-TRAC trials evaluating adjuvant TKI therapies for unfavorable/ high-risk RCC	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Practice Setting:		
□ Academic center/medical school □ Community cancer ce		
Solo practice ☐ Government (eg, VA) ☐ Other (please section of the please section)	specify)	
Approximately how many new patients with bladder cancer do you see per you	ear?	patients
Approximately how many new patients with renal cell carcinoma do you see	per year?	patient
Nas the activity evidence based, tair, balanced and tree from commercia	l hias?	
─ Yes ─ No If no, please explain:		
Yes No If no, please explain: Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of completing This activity validated my current practice Create/revise protocols, policies and/or procedures Change the management and/or treatment of my patients Other (please explain):	ng this activity (sel	ect all that apply).
Yes No If no, please explain: Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of completing This activity validated my current practice Create/revise protocols, policies and/or procedures Change the management and/or treatment of my patients Other (please explain): f you intend to implement any changes in your practice, please provide	ng this activity (sel	ect all that apply).
Yes No If no, please explain: Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of completing This activity validated my current practice Create/revise protocols, policies and/or procedures Change the management and/or treatment of my patients Other (please explain): f you intend to implement any changes in your practice, please provide The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedures Yes No If no, please explain:	ng this activity (sel	ect all that apply).
Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of completing This activity validated my current practice Create/revise protocols, policies and/or procedures Change the management and/or treatment of my patients Other (please explain): If you intend to implement any changes in your practice, please provide The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedure procedures The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedure procedures The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedure procedures The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedures The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedures The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedures The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of procedures	ng this activity (sel	ect all that apply). ss:
Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of completing. This activity validated my current practice. Create/revise protocols, policies and/or procedures. Change the management and/or treatment of my patients. Other (please explain): If you intend to implement any changes in your practice, please provide.	ng this activity (sel	ect all that apply). ss:

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM (continued)

As a result of this activity, I will be able to:

- · Recall the unique mechanism of action of, available clinical trial data with and clinical indications for the use of atezolizumab in patients with relapsed/refractory advanced urothelial bladder cancer, and use this information to guide nonprotocol
- Recognize immune-related adverse events and other common side effects associated with approved and developmental immunotherapeutics in order to
- Recall available and emerging data with novel anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies currently under investigation for bladder cancer and RCC, and, where applicable,

Please describe any clinical situations that you find difficult to manage or resolve that you would like to see addressed in future educational activities:

Would you recommend this activity to a colleague? If no, please explain: □ No

PART 2 — Please tell us about the faculty and editor for this educational activity 4 = Excellent3 = Good2 = Adequate1 = Suboptimal Knowledge of subject matter **Faculty** Effectiveness as an educator 3 2 1 Matthew D Galsky, MD Elizabeth R Plimack, MD, MS 4 3 2 1 4 3 2 1 2 2 1 4 3 1 3 Robert J Motzer, MD 1 3 2 2 Brian I Rini, MD 4 1 4 3 1 Editor Knowledge of subject matter Effectiveness as an educator

3

REQUEST FOR CREDIT — Please print clearly

Neil Love, MD

Name:		Specialty	y:	
Professional Designation: MD DO PharmD NP	□ RN	□ PA	Other	
Street Address:			Box/Suite:	
City, State, Zip:				
Telephone:	Fax:			
Email:				
Research To Practice designates this enduring Physicians should claim only the credit common I certify my actual time spent to complete this	ensurate wit	h the exten	t of their participation in the activity.	и.
Signature:			Date:	
☐ I would like Research To Practice to submipoints. I understand that because I am requeshare personally identifiable information with Additional information for MOC credit (require	sting MOC co	redit, Resea		
Date of Birth (Month and Day Only): /	_ ABIM 6-D	igit ID Num	ıber:	
If you are not sure of your ABIM ID, please vis	sit http://www	w.abim.org/	online/findcand.aspx.	

The expiration date for this activity is May 2018. To obtain a certificate of completion and receive credit for this activity, please complete the Post-test, fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form and fax both to (800) 447-4310, or mail both to Research To Practice, One Biscayne Tower, 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600, Miami, FL 33131. You may also complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment online at www.ResearchToPractice.com/RenalBladder117/CME.

<u>Bladder Cancer</u>™

Renal Cell Cancer™

U P D A T E

Editor Neil Love, MD

Director, Clinical Content and CPD/CME Kathryn Ault Ziel, PhD

Scientific Director Richard Kaderman, PhD

Editorial Clayton Campbell

Marilyn Fernandez, PhD

Gloria Kelly, PhD Kemi Obajimi, PhD

Margaret Peng

Creative Manager Fernando Rendina

Graphic Designers Jessica Benitez

Tamara Dabney Silvana Izquierdo

Managing Editor Kirsten Miller

Senior Production Editor Aura Herrmann

Copy Editors Rosemary Hulce

Pat Morrissey/Havlin Alexis Oneca

Kyriaki Tsaganis

Production Manager Tracy Potter

Audio Production Frank Cesarano

Web Master John Ribeiro

Faculty Relations Manager Stephanie Bodanyi, CMP

Continuing Education Administrator for Nursing Karen Gabel Speroni, BSN, MHSA, PhD, RN

Contact Information Neil Love, MD

Research To Practice One Biscayne Tower

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600

Miami, FL 33131 Fax: (305) 377-9998

Email: DrNeill ove@ResearchToPractice.com

For CME/CNE Information Email: CE@ResearchToPractice.com

Copyright © 2017 Research To Practice. All rights reserved.

The compact discs, Internet content and accompanying own professional develo

The compact discs, Internet content and accompanying printed material are protected by copyright. No part of this program may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or utilizing any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

Participants have an implied responsibility to use the newly acquired information to enhance patient outcomes and their

own professional development. The information presented in this activity is not meant to serve as a guideline for patient management.

Any procedures, medications or other courses of diagnosis or treatment discussed or suggested in this activity should not be used by clinicians without evaluation of their patients' conditions and possible contraindications or dangers in use, review of any applicable manufacturer's product information and comparison with recommendations of other authorities.

PRSRT STD U.S. POSTAGE PAID **PERMIT #1317** MIAMI, FL

Bladder Cancer Renal Cell Cancer

Research To Practice

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600

One Biscayne Tower Miami, FL 33131

Neil Love, MD

Copyright @ 2017 Research To Practice.

This activity is supported by educational grants from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Exelixis Inc, Genentech BioOncology and Novartis.

To Practice® Research

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Estimated time to complete: 2.75 hours Expiration date: May 2018 Release date: May 2017



This program is printed on MacGregor XP paper, which is manufactured in accordance with the world's leading forest management certification standards.