MetResect?

Management of Patients with Colorectal Cancer and Hepatic-Only Metastases

Proceedings from a Case-Based Roundtable Meeting of Clinical Investigators Developing a New Education/Management Platform for Adjuvant! Online







MODERATOR

Neil Love, MD

FACULTY

Steven R Alberts, MD
Michael A Choti, MD, MBA
Fergus V Coakley, MD
Steven A Curley, MD
Richard M Goldberg, MD
Axel Grothey, MD
Daniel G Haller, MD
Chaan S Ng, MD
John N Primrose, MD
Peter M Ravdin, MD, PhD
Alan P Venook, MD

From the publishers of:







METRESECT? — MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH COLORECTAL CANCER AND HEPATIC-ONLY METASTASES

A Continuing Medical Education Program

OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

Colorectal cancer is among the most common types of cancer in the United States, and its treatment is continually evolving. More than half of the patients diagnosed with colorectal cancer will develop disseminated disease. For select patients with isolated hepatic metastases, resection and, hence, cure should be the goal. Identifying those patients who may benefit from liver-directed treatment approaches with or without concurrent systemic therapy requires clinician knowledge of the clinicopathologic factors affecting disease prognosis in addition to emerging pivotal research results.

In order to offer optimal patient care — including the option of clinical trial participation — practicing medical and surgical oncologists must be well informed of these advances. By providing access to the latest research developments and expert perspectives, this CME activity assists medical and surgical oncologists in the formulation of up-to-date clinical management strategies.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Select appropriate imaging studies (ie, CT, PET scans) for the initial staging and subsequent surveillance of
 patients with colorectal cancer (CRC).
- Use clinical factors to identify patients with isolated CRC hepatic metastases who may benefit from surgical resection.
- Develop an evidence-based algorithm addressing the roles of liver-directed and systemic therapies in the
 management of isolated CRC hepatic metastases or synchronous primary and metastatic disease.
- Distinguish the clinical indications for local and/or systemic treatment of hepatic metastases in the presence
 of an intact primary colon or rectal tumor.
- Assess emerging clinical research data and ongoing trials to discern the optimal treatment-free window between the administration of anti-angiogenic therapy and surgery.
- Communicate the benefits and risks of postoperative adjuvant systemic therapy to appropriate patients with CRC and surgically resected liver metastases.
- Recognize the prognostic and predictive utility of K-ras mutation status when recommending treatment
 options for patients with metastatic CRC.
- Counsel appropriately selected patients with CRC about ongoing clinical trial participation.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this educational activity for a maximum of 2.5 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains an audio component. To receive credit, the participant should review the CME information, listen to the CDs and complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment and Credit Form located in the back of this booklet or on our website at **ResearchToPractice.com/MetResect**.

This program is supported by an educational grant from Sanofi-Aventis.

Last review date: January 2009; Release date: January 2009; Expiration date: January 2010

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess potential conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Real or apparent conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — **Drs Alberts** and **Coakley** had no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose. The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process: Dr Choti — Advisory Committee and Speakers Bureau: Genentech BioOncology, Sanofi-Aventis. Dr Curley — Advisory Committee: Genentech BioOncology, Sanofi-Aventis; Speakers Bureau: Genentech BioOncology. Dr Goldberg - Consulting Agreements: Amgen Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, ImClone Systems Incorporated, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pfizer Inc, Poniard Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi-Aventis. Dr Grothey — Advisory Committee: Genentech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, Pfizer Inc, Roche Laboratories Inc., Sanofi-Aventis; Consulting Agreements: Amgen Inc., Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology, Roche Laboratories Inc. Dr Haller — Advisory Committee: Abraxis BioScience, Amgen Inc, Genentech BioOncology, Sanofi-Aventis; Consulting Agreement: Sanofi-Aventis. Dr Ng — Paid Research: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, GE Healthcare. Prof Primrose — Advisory Committee: Merck and Company Inc. Sanofi-Aventis: Speakers Bureau: Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Sanofi-Aventis. Dr Ravdin — Consulting Agreement: Genomic Health Inc; Speakers Bureau: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP; Stock Ownership: Adjuvant! Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Pfizer Inc, Wyeth. Dr Venook — Advisory Committee: Amgen Inc. ImClone Systems Incorporated; Grant Funding: Genentech BioOncology; Paid Research: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pfizer Inc.

MODERATOR — Neil Love: Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice, which receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: Abraxis BioScience, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Aureon Laboratories Inc, Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation/Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Biogen Idec, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Eisai Inc, Eli Lilly and Company, Genethech BioOncology, Genomic Health Inc, GlaxoSmithKline, ImClone Systems Incorporated, Merck and Company Inc, Millennium Pharmaceuticals Inc, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Ortho Biotech Products LP, OSI Oncology, Pfizer Inc, Roche Laboratories Inc, Sanofi-Aventis, Synta Pharmaceuticals Corp and Wyeth.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantor.

MetResect? — Management of Patients with Colorectal Cancer and Hepatic-Only Metastases

QUESTIONS (PLEASE CIRCLE ANSWER):

- Which of the following patient characteristics would require that a larger volume of normal liver be left after the resection of liver metastases?
 - a. Prior administration of chemotherapy
 - b. High body mass index
 - c. Diabetes
 - d. All of the above
 - e. None of the above
- weeks is considered an adequate amount of time between the administration of bevacizumab and surgery.
 - a. Two
 - b. Four
 - c. Six
 - d. None of the above
- 3. In a Phase III, randomized, adjuvant trial of 5-FU/leucovorin versus 5-FU/leucovorin/irinotecan in patients who had undergone resection of liver metastases, the addition of irinotecan was associated with a(n) _____ disease-free survival.
 - a. Superior
 - b. Inferior
 - c. Comparable
- 4. Which of the following adjuvant trials did not demonstrate an advantage with an irinotecan-based regimen?
 - a. CALGB-C89803
 - b. PETACC-3
 - c. ACCORD-02
 - d. All of the above
 - e. None of the above
- It will generally take _____ for the liver to hypertrophy when treated with portal vein embolization.
 - a. Four to five days
 - b. Four to five weeks
 - c. Four to five months
 - d. None of the above

- 6. Which of the following trials did not demonstrate a benefit for the combination of bevacizumab and an EGFR inhibitor?
 - a. CAIRO-2
 - b. PACCE
 - c. BOND-2
 - d. Both a and b
 - e. All of the above
- In patients with resected liver metastases, the highest risk of recurrence occurs during the first two years after surgery.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 8. Patients with more than five liver metastases should always be considered to have unresectable disease.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 9. Which of the following is not FDA approved for use as first-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer?
 - a. Cetuximab
 - b. Bevacizumab
 - c. Oxaliplatin
 - d. Irinotecan
- 10. In patients who have undergone surgery for colorectal cancer, the UK National Colorectal Cancer Follow-Up Trial will compare the utility of frequent CT scans to a single CT scan during the first two years.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 11. A recent publication by René Adam suggests that resection of liver metastases is of minimal benefit in patients with regional lymph node involvement.
 - a. True
 - b. False

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM

MetResect? — Management of Patients with Colorectal Cancer and Hepatic-Only Metastases

Research To Practice is committed to providing valuable continuing education for oncology clinicians, and your input is critical to helping us achieve this important goal. Please take the time to assess the activity you just completed, with the assurance that your answers and suggestions are strictly confidential.

PART ONE — Please tell us about your experience with this educational activity

BEFORE completion of this activity, how would you characterize your level of knowledge on the following topics?	AFTER completion of this activity, how would you characterize your level of knowledge on the following topics?						
4 = Excellent 3 = Good 2 = Adequate 1 = Suboptimal	4 = Excellent 3 = Good 2 = Adequate 1 = Suboptimal						
Utilization of imaging studies for the initial staging and subsequent screening of patients with colorectal cancer (CRC)4 3 2 1	Utilization of imaging studies for the initial staging and subsequent screening of patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) 4 3 2 1						
Clinical factors to identify patients who may benefit from resection of liver-only CRC metastases with curative intent 4 3 2 1	Clinical factors to identify patients who may benefit from resection of liver-only CRC metastases with curative intent4 3 2 1						
Role of perioperative versus postoperative systemic therapy for patients with resected liver-only disease 4 3 2 1	Role of perioperative versus postoperative systemic therapy for patients with resected liver-only disease 4 3 2 1						
Sequencing of systemic therapy and surgery for patients with primary colon cancer and synchronous liver metastases 4 3 2 1	Sequencing of systemic therapy and surgery for patients with primary colon cancer and synchronous liver metastases4 3 2 1						
Optimal treatment-free window between the administration of anti-angiogenic therapy and surgery 4 3 2 1	Optimal treatment-free window between the administration of anti-angiogenic therapy and surgery						
Was the activity evidence based, fair, balanced and	d free from commercial bias?						
☐ Yes ☐ No If no, please explain:							
Yes No Not applicable	0						
If no, please explain:							
Did the activity meet your educational needs and expectations?							
☐ Yes ☐ No							
If no, please explain:							
1 5	, , , , , ,						
, 0	N/M = Learning objective not met N/A = Not applicable						
As a result of this activity, I will be able to: Select appropriate imaging studies (ie, CT, PET scans) for the initial staging and subsequent surveillance of patients with colorectal cancer (CRC)							
 Recognize the prognostic and predictive utility of K-ras recommending treatment options for patients with met Counsel appropriately selected patients with CRC about 	mutation status when astatic CRC						
participation							

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM (continued)

What additional information or training do you need on the activity topics or other oncologyrelated topics?

As part of our ongoing, continuous quality-improvement effort, we conduct postactivity followup surveys to assess the impact of our educational interventions on professional practice. Please indicate your willingness to participate in such a survey.

☐ Yes, I am willing to participate in a follow-up survey. ☐ No, I am not willing to participate in a follow-up survey.

PART TWO — Please tell us about the moderator and faculty for this educational activity

4 = Excellent	3 = Good	2	e Adec	quate	1 = Subopt	mal			
Faculty	Knowledg	ge of	subje	ct matte	r Ef	fective	ness	as an	educator
Steven R Alberts, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Michael A Choti, MD, MBA	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Fergus V Coakley, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Steven A Curley, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Richard M Goldberg, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Axel Grothey, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Daniel G Haller, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Chaan S Ng, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
John N Primrose, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Peter M Ravdin, MD, PhD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Alan P Venook, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1
Moderator	Knowledg	ge of	subje	ct matte	r Ef	fective	ness	as an	educator
Neil Love, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1

Please recommend additional faculty for future activities:								
Other comments about the moderator and faculty for this activity:								
REQUEST FOR CREDIT — Please prin								
REGOEST TOR CREDIT — Tlease plin	t cicarry							
Name:	Specialty:							
Professional Designation:								
□ MD □ DO □ PharmD □ NP	RN PA Other							
Medical License/ME Number:	Last 4 Digits of SSN (required):							
Street Address:	Box/Suite:							
City, State, Zip:								
Telephone:	Fax:							
Research To Practice designates this education	nal activity for a maximum of 2.5 AMA PRA Category it commensurate with the extent of their participaeducational activity to be hour(s).							
Signaturo.	Date:							

To obtain a certificate of completion and receive credit for this activity, please complete the Posttest, fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form and fax both to (800) 447-4310, or mail both to Research To Practice, One Biscayne Tower, 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600, Miami, FL 33131. You may also complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment online at www.ResearchToPractice.com/MetResect/CME. Moderator Neil Love, MD

Managing Editor Kathryn Ault Ziel, PhD
Scientific Director Richard Kaderman. PhD

Senior Director, Medical Affairs Aviva Asnis-Alibozek, PA-C, MPAS

Continuing Education Administrator for Nursing Sally Bogert, RNC, WHCNP

Content Validation Margaret Peng

Erin Wall Clayton Campbell Jessica McCarrick

Director, Creative and Copy Editing Aura Herrmann

Creative Manager Fernando Rendina
Graphic Designers Jessica Benitez

Jason Cunnius Tamara Dabney Shantia Daniel Claudia Munoz

Senior Production Editor Alexis Oneca
Traffic Manager Tere Sosa

Copy Editors Dave Amber

Margo Harris David Hill Rosemary Hulce Kirsten Miller Pat Morrissey/Havlin

Pat Morrissey/Ha Carol Peschke Susan Petrone

Production Manager Tracy Potter

Audio Production Frank Cesarano

Web Master John Ribeiro

Faculty Relations Manager Melissa Vives

CME Director/CPD Director Isabelle Tate

Contact Information Neil Love. MD

Research To Practice One Biscayne Tower

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600

Miami, FL 33131

Fax: (305) 377-9998

Email: DrNeilLove@ResearchToPractice.com

For CME/CNE Information Email: CE@ResearchToPractice.com

Copyright © 2009 Research To Practice. All rights reserved.

The compact discs, Internet content and accompanying printed material are protected by copyright. No part of this program may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or utilizing any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantor.

Participants have an implied responsibility to use the newly acquired information to enhance patient outcomes and their

own professional development. The information presented in this activity is not meant to serve as a guideline for patient management.

Any procedures, medications or other courses of diagnosis or treatment discussed or suggested in this activity should not be used by clinicians without evaluation of their patients' conditions and possible contraindications or dangers in use, review of any applicable manufacturer's product information and comparison with recommendations of other authorities.

MetResect?

Copyright © 2009 Research To Practice.
This program is supported by an educational grant from Sanofi-Aventis.

Research To Practice®

Sponsored by Research To Practice.

Last review date: January 2009 Release date: January 2009 Expiration date: January 2010 Estimated time to complete: 2.5 hours