

Audio reviews of key presentations and posters from important scientific meetings Discussion of 70 **Presentations** and Posters Presented at the 2008 Annual Oncology Meeting in Chicago, Illinois

EDITOR

Neil Love, MD

INTERVIEWS

Daniel J George, MD Edward S Kim, MD John P Leonard, MD

Alan P Venook, MD Eric P Winer, MD



from the publishers of

Breast Cancer® Colorectal Cancer® Lung Cancer®

Hematologic

Prostate Cancer™ Renal Cell Cancer™



A Continuing Medical Education Audio Series

OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

Oncology is one of the most rapidly evolving fields in medicine. Results presented at major cancer conferences from a plethora of ongoing clinical trials lead to the continuous emergence of new therapeutic agents and changes in the indications for existing treatments. In order to offer optimal patient care, the practicing medical oncologist must be well informed of these advances. To bridge the gap between research and patient care, this issue of *Cancer Conference Update* features one-on-one discussions with Drs George, Kim, Leonard, Venook and Winer on the integration of data presented at the 2008 Annual Oncology Meeting in Chicago, Illinois into the management of various types of cancer. Thus, this CME activity is designed to assist medical oncologists with the formulation of up-to-date clinical management strategies.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Evaluate the clinical relevance of emerging data in breast, colorectal, hepatocellular, lung, head & neck, thyroid, prostate, renal cell and select hematologic malignancies, and incorporate this information into treatment plans for appropriately selected patients.
- Critically review the data supporting the use of clinical and molecular markers in the individualized management of breast cancer, and integrate them into current neoadjuvant, adjuvant and metastatic treatment decisions.
- Discuss the prognostic and predictive relevance of K-ras mutations in the clinical care of patients with lung
 or colorectal cancer, considering assay availability and impact on therapy selection.
- Evaluate the safety and efficacy of the multitargeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and thyroid cancer, and determine the current clinical applicability of these agents.
- Develop a treatment algorithm for the management of advanced renal cell cancer, incorporating the evidence-based use of multitargeted TKIs, anti-angiogenic agents and/or cytokines.
- Assess the practical implications of the emerging research using cytotoxic, biologic and novel androgentargeted agents for the treatment of castration-refractory metastatic prostate cancer.
- Critically evaluate the risk-benefit profiles of biologic and chemobiologic regimens with activity in locally
 advanced and metastatic non-small cell lung cancer, and use this information when making individualized
 treatment recommendations.
- Review data on the evolving clinical role of cetuximab for the treatment of head & neck cancer, and incorporate this information into your current therapeutic algorithms.
- Discuss research advances using novel schedules, doses or pharmacologic agents for the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, multiple myeloma, myelodysplastic syndromes and chronic myelogenous leukemia, and determine how these may be applied to clinical practice.
- Review the new and recently updated clinical data focusing on the treatment of colorectal cancer and the
 prevention of therapy-induced peripheral sensory neuropathy in the adjuvant and metastatic settings.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this educational activity for a maximum of 2.75 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s) TM . Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains an audio component. To receive credit, the participant should review the CME information, listen to the CDs and complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment and Credit Form located in the back of this booklet or on our website at **CancerConferenceUpdate.com**.

This program is supported by educational grants from Abraxis BioScience, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation/Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology, ImClone Systems Incorporated, Pfizer Inc and Sanofi-Aventis.

FACULTY AFFILIATIONS



Daniel J George, MD Associate Professor of Medicine and Surgery Director of Genitourinary Oncology Duke Medical Center Durham, North Carolina



Edward S Kim, MD
Assistant Professor of Medicine
Department of Thoracic,
Head and Neck Medical Oncology
The University of Texas
MD Anderson Cancer Center
Houston, Texas



John P Leonard, MD
Richard T Silver Distinguished
Professor of Hematology and
Medical Oncology
Clinical Director, Center for
Lymphoma and Myeloma
Director, Hematology/Oncology
Clinical Research Program
Professor of Medicine
Weill Cornell Medical College
Attending Physician
New York Presbyterian Hospital
New York, New York



Alan P Venook, MD
Professor of Clinical Medicine
University of California, San Francisco
San Francisco. California



Eric P Winer, MD
Thompson Investigator in
Breast Cancer Research
Director, Breast Oncology Center
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
Professor of Medicine
Harvard Medical School
Boston, Massachusetts

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess potential conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Real or apparent conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — Dr Winer had no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose. The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported real or apparent conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process: **Dr George** — Advisory Committee: Pfizer Inc. Sanofi-Aventis, Wyeth; Consulting Agreements: Genentech BioOncology, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pfizer Inc, Sanofi-Aventis, Wyeth; Paid Research: Amgen Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pfizer Inc, Wyeth; Speakers Bureau: Pfizer Inc, Sanofi-Aventis. Dr Kim — Advisory Committee: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, ImClone Systems Incorporated; Paid Research: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Eli Lilly and Company, Genentech BioOncology, ImClone Systems Incorporated, OSI Pharmaceuticals Inc. Sanofi-Aventis; Speakers Bureau: Eli Lilly and Company, Genentech BioOncology, Sanofi-Aventis. Dr Leonard - Advisory Committee: Celgene Corporation, Cephalon Inc, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, The Takeda Oncology Company, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pharmion Corporation, Wyeth; Consulting Agreements: Biogen Idec, Genentech BioOncology, GlaxoSmithKline, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, The Takeda Oncology Company, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pharmion Corporation, Wyeth; Speakers Bureau: GlaxoSmithKline, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, The Takeda Oncology Company. Dr Venook — Advisory Committee: Amgen Inc, ImClone Systems Incorporated; Grant Funding: Genentech BioOncology; Paid Research: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Pfizer Inc.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE STAFF AND EXTERNAL REVIEWERS — The scientific staff and reviewers for Research To Practice have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR WINER (BREAST CANCER)

Gnant M et al. Adjuvant ovarian suppression combined with tamoxifen or anastrozole, alone or in combination with zoledronic acid, in premenopausal women with hormone responsive, stage I and II breast cancer: First efficacy results from ABCSG-12. Proc ASCO 2008: Abstract LBA4.

Goodwin PJ et al. Frequency of vitamin D (Vit D) deficiency at breast cancer (BC) diagnosis and association with risk of distant recurrence and death in a prospective cohort study of T1-3, N0-1, M0 BC. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 511.

Gray RG et al. aTTom (adjuvant Tamoxifen — To offer more?): Randomized trial of 10 versus 5 years of adjuvant tamoxifen among 6,934 women with estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) or ER untested breast cancer — Preliminary results. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 513.

Cristofanilli M et al. A phase II multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial to compare anastrozole plus gefitinib with anastrozole plus placebo in postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive (HR+) metastatic breast cancer (MBC). Proc ASCO 2008:Abstract 1012.

Miles D et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III study of bevacizumab with docetaxel or docetaxel with placebo as first-line therapy for patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer (mBC): AVADO. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract LBA1011.

O'Shaughnessy J et al. A randomized study of lapatinib alone or in combination with trastuzumab in heavily pretreated HER2+ metastatic breast cancer progressing on trastuzumab therapy. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 1015.

Miller K et al. Phase II feasibility trial incorporating bevacizumab into dose-dense doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel in patients with lymph node-positive breast cancer: A trial of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (E2104). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 520.

Von Minchwitz G et al. Capecitabine vs capecitabine + trastuzumab in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer progressing during trastuzumab treatment: The TBP phase III study (GBG 26/BIG 3-05). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 1025.

Gelmon KA et al. Results of a phase II trial of trastuzumab (H) and pertuzumab (P) in patients (pts) with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (MBC) who had progressed during trastuzumab therapy. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 1026.

Beeram M et al. A phase I study of trastuzumab-DM1 (T-DM1), a first-in-class HER2 antibody-drug conjugate (ADC), in patients (pts) with advanced HER2+ breast cancer (BC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 1028.

Holden SN et al. A phase I study of weekly dosing of trastuzumab-DM1 (T-DM1) in patients (pts) with advanced HER2+ breast cancer (BC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 1029.

Raefsky E et al. Phase II study of neoadjuvant bevacizumab and trastuzumab administered with albumin-bound paclitaxel (nab paclitaxel) and carboplatin in HER2+ locally advanced breast cancer. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 627.

Sierecki MR et al. Incidence and severity of sensory neuropathy (SN) with bevacizumab (B) added to dose-dense (dd) doxorubicin/cyclophosphamide (AC) followed by nanoparticle albumin bound (nab) paclitaxel (P) in patients (pts) with early-stage breast cancer (BC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 589.

Danso MA et al. Phase II trial of weekly nabpaclitaxel in combination with bevacizumab as first-line treatment in metastatic breast cancer. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 1075.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR VENOOK (HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA)

Cheng A-L et al. Randomized phase III trial of sorafenib versus placebo in Asian patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 4509.

Abou-Alfa GK et al. Is sorafenib (S) safe and effective in patients (pts) with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and Child-Pugh B (CPB) cirrhosis? Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 4518.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR VENOOK (CONTINUED)

Raoul J-L et al. Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma according to ECOG performance status: A subanalysis from the SHARP trial. *Proc ASCO* 2008; <u>Abstract 4587</u>.

Zhu AX et al. Sunitinib monotherapy in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC): Insights from a multidisciplinary phase II study. Proc ASCO 2008:Abstract 4521.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR GEORGE (RENAL CELL AND PROSTATE CANCER)

Figlin RA et al. Overall survival with sunitinib versus interferon (IFN)-alfa as first-line treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 5024.

Escudier BJ et al. Update on AVOREN trial in metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC): Efficacy and safety in subgroups of patients (pts) and pharmacokinetic (PK) analysis. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 5025.

Whorf RC et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab and everolimus (RAD001) in the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC). *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 5010.

Feldman DR et al. Phase I trial of bevacizumab plus sunitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 5100.

Sosman JA et al. Updated results of phase I trial of sorafenib (S) and bevacizumab (B) in patients with metastatic renal cell cancer (mRCC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 5011.

Sridhar SS et al. Activity of cediranib (AZD2171) in patients (pts) with previously untreated metastatic renal cell cancer (RCC). A phase II trial of the PMH Consortium. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 5047.

Bajetta E et al. Efficacy and safety of first-line bevacizumab (BEV) plus interferon-c2a (IFN) in patients (pts) >65 years with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 5095. Hariharan S et al. Sunitinib in metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) patients (pts) with brain metastases (mets): Data from an expanded access trial. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 5094.

Figg WD et al. Randomized phase III trial of thalidomide (Th) or placebo (P) for non-metastatic PSA recurrent prostate cancer (PCa) treated with intermittent therapy. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 5016.

Ning YM et al. Phase II trial of thalidomide (T), bevacizumab (Bv), and docetaxel (Doc) in patients (pts) with metastatic castration-refractory prostate cancer (mCRPC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 5000.

Scher HI et al. Phase I/II study of MDV3100 in patients (pts) with progressive castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 5006.

De Bono JS et al. Anti-tumor activity of abiraterone acetate (AA), a CYP17 inhibitor of androgen synthesis, in chemotherapy naive and docetaxel pre-treated castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 5005.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR KIM (LUNG, HEAD & NECK AND THYROID CANCER)

Pirker R et al. FLEX: A randomized, multicenter, phase III study of cetuximab in combination with cisplatin/vinorelbine (CV) versus CV alone in the first-line treatment of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 3.

Schiller JH et al. A randomized discontinuation phase II study of sorafenib versus placebo in patients with non-small cell lung cancer who have failed at least two prior chemotherapy regimens: E2501. Proc ASCO

2008; Abstract 8014.

Karp DD et al. High activity of the anti-IGF-IR antibody CP-751,871 in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin in squamous NSCLC. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 8015.

Riely GJ et al. Frequency and distinctive spectrum of KRAS mutations in never smokers with lung adenocarcinoma. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 8006.

Douillard J-Y et al. Molecular and clinical subgroup analyses from a phase III trial comparing gefitinib with docetaxel in previously treated non-small cell lung cancer (INTEREST). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 8001.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR KIM (CONTINUED)

Socinski MA et al. Incorporation of bevacizumab (B) and erlotinib (Er) with induction (I) and concurrent (C) carboplatin (Cb)/paclitaxel (P) and 74 Gy of thoracic radiotherapy in stage III non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 7517.

Akerley WL et al. Acceptable safety of bevacizumab therapy in patients with brain metastases due to non-small cell lung cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 8043.

Paccagnella A et al. Concomitant chemoradiotherapy (CT/RT) vs neoadjuvant chemotherapy with docetaxel/cisplatin/5-fluorouracil (TPF) followed by CT/RT in locally advanced head and neck cancer. Final results of a phase II randomized study. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 6000.

Tishler RB et al. Cetuximab added to docetaxel, cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil induction chemotherapy (C-TPF) in patients with newly diagnosed locally advanced head and neck cancer: A phase I study. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 6001.

Argiris A et al. Phase II trial of neoadjuvant docetaxel (T), cisplatin (P), and cetuximab (E) followed by concurrent radiation (X), P, and E in locally advanced head and neck cancer (HNC). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 6002.

Haddad RI et al. A phase II open-label study of vandetanib in patients with locally advanced or metastatic hereditary medullary thyroid cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2008; <u>Abstract 6024</u>.

Brose MS et al. A phase II study of sorafenib in metastatic thyroid carcinoma. Proc ASCO 2008:Abstract 6026.

Ahmed M et al. Preliminary results of an open labeled phase 2 study evaluating the safety and efficacy of sorafenib in metastatic advanced thyroid cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 6060.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR LEONARD (HEMATOLOGIC MALIGNANCIES)

Pfreundschuh M et al. Improved outcome of elderly patients with poor-prognosis diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) after dosedense rituximab: Results of the DENSE-R-CHOP-14 trial of the German High-Grade Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group (DSHNHL). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 8508.

Czuczman MS et al. International study of lenalidomide in relapsed/refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 8509.

Palumbo AP et al. Bortezomib, pegylated-lyposomal-doxorubicin and dexamethasone (PAD) as induction therapy prior to reduced intensity autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) followed by lenalidomide and prednisone (LP) as consolidation and lenalidomide alone as maintenance. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 8518.

List AF et al. Effect of azacitidine (AZA) on overall survival in higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) without complete remission. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 7006.

Mauro MJ et al. Dasatinib 2-year efficacy in patients with chronic-phase chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML-CP) with resistance or intolerance to imatinib (START-C). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 7009.

Kantarjian H et al. Nilotinib in patients with imatinib-resistant or -intolerant chronic myelogenous leukemia in chronic phase (CML-CP): Updated phase II results. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 7010.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR VENOOK (COLORECTAL CANCER)

Van Cutsem E et al. KRAS status and efficacy in the first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) treated with FOLFIRI with or without cetuximab: The CRYSTAL experience. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 2.

Wolmark N et al. A phase III trial comparing FULV to FULV + oxaliplatin in stage II or III carcinoma of the colon: Survival results of NSABP Protocol C-07. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract LBA4005.

PAPERS DISCUSSED BY DR VENOOK (CONTINUED)

Allegra CJ et al. Initial safety report of NSABP C-08, a randomized phase III study of modified 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)/leucovorin (LCV) and oxaliplatin (OX) (mFOLFOX6) with or without bevacizumab (bev) in the adjuvant treatment of patients with stage II/III colon cancer. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 4006.

Nikcevich DA et al. Effect of intravenous calcium and magnesium (IV CaMg) on oxaliplatin-induced sensory neurotoxicity (sNT) in adjuvant colon cancer: Results of the phase III placebo-controlled, double-blind NCCTG trial N04C7. Proc ASCO 2008:Abstract 4009.

Grothey A et al. Intermittent oxaliplatin (oxali) administration and time-to-treatment-failure (TTF) in metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): Final results of the phase III CONcePT trial. Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 4010.

Punt CJ et al. Randomized phase III study of capecitabine, oxaliplatin, and bevacizumab with or without cetuximab in advanced colorectal cancer (ACC), the CAIRO2 study of the Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group (DCCG). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract LBA4011.

Bokemeyer C et al. KRAS status and efficacy of first-line treatment of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) with FOLFOX with or without cetuximab: The OPUS experience. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 4000.

Tejpar S et al. Relationship of efficacy with KRAS status (wild type versus mutant) in patients with irinotecan-refractory metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC), treated with irinotecan (q2w) and escalating doses of cetuximab (q1w): The EVEREST experience (preliminary data). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 4001.

Wiering B et al. Improved selection of patients for hepatic surgery of colorectal liver metastases with FDG-PET: A randomized study. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 4004.

Cassidy J et al. Surgery with curative intent in patients (pts) treated with first-line chemotherapy (CT) + bevacizumab (BEV) for metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): First BEAT and NO16966. Proc ASCO 2008: Abstract 4022.

Berry SR et al. Final efficacy results for bevacizumab plus standard first-line chemotherapies in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: First BEAT. Proc ASCO 2008:Abstract 4025.

Kozloff M et al. Safety and effectiveness of bevacizumab (BV) and chemotherapy (CT) in elderly patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): Results from the BRiTE observational cohort study. *Proc ASCO* 2008; Abstract 4026.

Flynn PJ et al. Incidence of serious bleeding events (sBE) in patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) receiving bevacizumab (BV) as part of a first-line regimen: Results from the BRiTE observational cohort study (OCS). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 4104.

Sugrue MM et al. Serious wound healing complications (sWHC) following surgery in patients (pts) with metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) receiving bevacizumab (BV): Results from the BRiTE observational cohort study (OCS). Proc ASCO 2008; Abstract 4105.

Cunningham D et al. A phase II, double-blind, randomized multicenter study of cediranib with FOLFOX versus bevacizumab with FOLFOX in patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC): Final PFS results. Proc ASCO 2008:Abstract 4028.

ADDITIONAL PUBLICATIONS CITED IN THE PROGRAM

Escudier B et al; AVOREN trial investigators. Bevacizumab plus interferon alfa-2a for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma: A randomised, double-blind phase III trial. *Lancet* 2007;370(9605):2103-11. <u>Abstract</u>

Geyer CE et al. Lapatinib plus capecitabine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;355(26):2733-43. Abstract

Llovet J et al. Sorafenib improves survival in advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC): Results of a Phase III randomized placebocontrolled trial (SHARP trial). Proc ASCO 2007; Abstract LBA1.

Miller K et al. Paclitaxel plus bevacizumab versus paclitaxel alone for metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2007;357(26):2666-76. Abstract

Motzer RJ et al. Sunitinib versus interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2007;356(2):115-24. Abstract

Peto R et al. ATLAS (Adjuvant Tamoxifen, Longer Against Shorter): International randomized trial of 10 versus 5 years of adjuvant tamoxifen among 11,500 women — Preliminary results. San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2007; Abstract 48.

Cancer Conference Update — Issue 2, 2008

QUESTIONS (PLEASE CIRCLE ANSWER):

- 1. The ABCSG-12 trial randomly assigned premenopausal women with hormone responsive, Stage I and II breast cancer to receive adjuvant ovarian suppression combined with alone or in combination
 - with zoledronic acid.
 - a. Tamoxifen, placebo
 - b. Placebo, anastrozole
 - c. Tamoxifen, anastrozole
 - d. Tamoxifen, fulvestrant
- 2. In a randomized study of lapatinib alone or in combination with trastuzumab for patients with heavily pretreated HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer progressing on trastuzumab, a significant improvement in progression-free survival was observed with combination therapy versus lapatinib monotherapy.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 3. In AVADO, a significant increase was with bevacizumab/ seen in docetaxel compared to docetaxel alone as first-line therapy for patients with locally recurrent or metastatic breast cancer.
 - a. Response rate
 - b. Progression-free survival
 - c. Overall survival
 - d. Both a and b
 - e. None of the above
- 4. In a Phase II study of sunitinib monotherapy in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma, Zhu and colleagues reported a median overall survival of
 - a. Three months
 - b. Nine months
 - c. 18 months
- 5. The report by Sosman and colleagues on the Phase I trial of sorafenib and bevacizumab in patients with metastatic renal cell cancer reported an increased incidence of which of the following toxicities?
 - a. Hypertension
 - b. Rash
 - c. Hand-foot syndrome
 - d. All of the above

- 6. A Phase II trial of thalidomide. bevacizumab and docetaxel administered to patients with metastatic castrationrefractory prostate cancer reported a PSA response rate.
 - a. 50 percent
 - b. 70 percent
 - c. 90 percent
- 7. The FLEX trial demonstrated that patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer who received cetuximab in combination with gained a statistically significant improvement in overall survival.
 - a. Cisplatin/vinorelbine
 - b. Paclitaxel/cisplatin
 - c. Gemcitabine/carboplatin
 - d. Gemcitabine/cisplatin
 - e. None of the above
- 8. Results from the German High-Grade Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group DENSE-R-CHOP-14 trial for elderly patients with poor-prognosis diffuse large B-cell lymphoma after dose-dense rituximab showed improved outcomes compared to historic nondose-dense regimens with regard to
 - a. Event-free survival
 - b. Progression-free survival
 - c. None of the above
 - d. Both a and b
- 9. The CRYSTAL trial evaluated patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with first-line FOLFIRI with or without
 - a. Cetuximab
 - b. Oxaliplatin
 - c. Bevacizumab
- 10. In the randomized Phase III CAIRO2 study evaluating capecitabine/oxaliplatin/ bevacizumab with or without cetuximab. patients with the K-ras mutation treated with the combination with cetuximab had inferior progression-free survival outcomes compared to those treated with CAPOX/bevacizumab alone.
 - a. True
 - b. False

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM

Cancer Conference Update — Issue 2, 2008

Research To Practice is committed to providing valuable continuing education for oncology clinicians, and your input is critical to helping us achieve this important goal. Please take the time to assess the activity you just completed, with the assurance that your answers and suggestions are strictly confidential.

PART ONE — Please tell us about your experience with this educational activity

BEFORE completion of this activity, how would you characterize your level of knowledge on the following topics?	AFTER completion of this activity, how would you characterize your level of knowledge on the following topics?
4 = Very good 3 = Above average 2 = Adequate 1 = Suboptimal	4 = Very good 3 = Above average 2 = Adequate 1 = Suboptimal
Novel biologic agents being investigated in the treatment of prostate cancer 4 3 2 1	Novel biologic agents being investigated in the treatment of prostate cancer 4 3 2 1
K-ras mutation status and benefit from cetuximab in lung and colorectal cancer 4 3 2 1	K-ras mutation status and benefit from cetuximab in lung and colorectal cancer 4 3 2 1
Antitumor efficacy of zoledronic acid in premenopausal women with hormone	Antitumor efficacy of zoledronic acid in premenopausal women with hormone
receptor-positive early BC	receptor-positive early BC
with advanced HCC	with advanced HCC
FLEX: Benefit of cetuximab with first-line cisplatin/vinorelbine in advanced NSCLC 4 3 2 1	FLEX: Benefit of cetuximab with first-line cisplatin/vinorelbine in advanced NSCLC 4 3 2 1
	•
Was the activity evidence based, fair, balanced an	d free from commercial bias?
☐ Yes ☐ No If no, please explain:	
Will this activity help you improve patient care?	
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not applicable If no, please explain:	le
Did the activity meet your educational needs and	expectations?
Yes No	cxpectations:
If no, please explain:	
Please respond to the following LEARNER stateme	nts by circling the appropriate selection:
4 = Yes 3 = Will consider 2 = No 1 = Already doing	N/M = Learning objective not met N/A = Not applicable
Critically review the data supporting the use of clinical and individualized management of breast cancer, and integrate neoadjuvant, adjuvant and metastatic treatment decisions Discuss the prognostic and predictive relevance of K-ras r of patients with lung or colorectal cancer, considering asset therapy selection. Evaluate the safety and efficacy of the multitargeted tyrosi the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma and thyroid can clinical applicability of these agents. Develop a treatment algorithm for the management of advincorporating the evidence-based use of multitargeted TK	hematologic malignancies, ppropriately selected patients
 Assess the practical implications of the emerging research and novel androgen-targeted agents for the treatment of c 	n using cytotoxic, biologic
Critically evaluate the risk-benefit profiles of biologic and c activity in locally advanced and metastatic non-small cell lingermation when making individualized treatment recommend.	
· Review data on the evolving clinical role of cetuximab for t	
 Discuss research advances using novel schedules, doses the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, multiple myelc syndromes and chronic myelogenous leukemia, and deter applied to clinical practice. 	or pharmacologic agents for oma, myelodysplastic mine how these may be
 Review the new and recently updated clinical data focusin colorectal cancer and the prevention of therapy-induced p 	ng on the treatment of Deripheral sensory
neuropatny in the adjuvant and metastatic settings	4 3 2 1 N/M N/A

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM (continued) What other practice changes will you make or consider making as a result of this activity? What additional information or training do you need on the activity topics or other oncology-related topics? Additional comments about this activity: May we include you in future assessments to evaluate the effectiveness of this activity? ☐ Yes □ No PART TWO — Please tell us about the faculty for this educational activity 4 = Very good 3 = Above average 2 = Adequate **Faculty** Knowledge of subject matter Effectiveness as an educator 3 Daniel J George, MD 4 2 1 4 3 2 1 Edward S Kim MD 3 2 1 4 3 2 1 John P Leonard, MD 4 3 2 3 2 1 4 1 3 2 2 Alan P Venook, MD 4 1 4 3 1 Eric P Winer, MD 3 2 1 3 2 1 Please recommend additional faculty for future activities: Other comments about the faculty for this activity: REQUEST FOR CREDIT — Please print clearly Professional Designation: \square MD □ DO □ PharmD □ NP □ RN □ PA Other..... Street Address: Box/Suite: City, State, Zip:

Research To Practice designates this educational activity for a maximum of 2.75 AMA PRA Category 1 $Credit(s)^{TM}$. Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

I certify my actual time spent to complete this educational activity to be hour(s).

Signature: Date:

To obtain a certificate of completion and receive credit for this activity, please complete the Post-test, fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form and fax both to (800) 447-4310, or mail both to Research To Practice, One Biscayne Tower, 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600, Miami, FL 33131. You may also complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment online at www.CancerConferenceUpdate.com/CME.

Editor Neil Love, MD

Managing Editor Kathryn Ault Ziel, PhD Scientific Director Richard Kaderman. PhD

Senior Director, Medical Affairs Aviva Asnis-Alibozek, PA-C, MPAS

Writers Lilliam Sklaver Poltorack, PharmD

Douglas Paley

Continuing Education Administrator for Nursing Sally Bogert, RNC, WHCNP

Content Validation Margaret Peng

Erin Wall Clayton Campbell

Director, Creative and Copy Editing Aura Herrmann

Creative Manager Fernando Rendina

Graphic Designers Jessica Benitez

Jason Cunnius Tamara Dabney Claudia Munoz

Senior Production Editor Alexis Oneca

Traffic Manager Tere Sosa
Copy Editors Dave Amber

Margo Harris David Hill Rosemary Hulce Kirsten Miller Pat Morrissey/Havlin Carol Peschke

Susan Petrone

Production Manager Tracy Potter
Audio Production Frank Cesarano

Web Master John Ribeiro
Faculty Relations Manager Melissa Vives
CME Director/CPD Director Isabelle Tate

Contact Information Neil Love, MD

Research To Practice One Biscayne Tower

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600

Miami, FL 33131

Fax: (305) 377-9998

Email: DrNeilLove@ResearchToPractice.com

For CMF/CNF Information Fmail: CF@ResearchToPractice.com

Copyright © 2008 Research To Practice. All rights reserved.

The compact discs, Internet content and accompanying printed material are protected by copyright. No part of this program may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or utilizing any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

Participants have an implied responsibility to use the newly acquired information to enhance patient outcomes and their

own professional development. The information presented in this activity is not meant to serve as a guideline for patient management.

Any procedures, medications or other courses of diagnosis or treatment discussed or suggested in this activity should not be used by clinicians without evaluation of their patients' conditions and possible contraindications or dangers in use, review of any applicable manufacturer's product information and comparison with recommendations of other authorities.

Copyright © 2008 Research To Practice.

This program is supported by educational grants from Abraxis BioScience,
AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer Pharmaceuticals Corporation/Onyx Pharmaceuticals Inc,
Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Genentech BioOncology, ImClone Systems Incorporated,
Pfizer Inc and Sanofi-Aventis.

Research To Practice®

Sponsored by Research To Practice.

Last review date: August 2008 Release date: August 2008 Expiration date: August 2009 Estimated time to complete: 2.75 hours